

Reviewer II

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
COMPULSORY REVISION comments	Concerning the manuscript MS: 2012/IJBcRR/2017, the introduction is short and in some cases more information should be included. In page 1, lines 11-12: "The yam is also used for other purposes as food in Africa including wild yams are used in traditional medicine for their therapeutic properties. They are also used because of their toxic properties (Miege, 1977)". Some examples of their use in traditional medicine and their use due their toxic properties should be included. In my opinion, some descriptions of material and methods section should be improved. The methods are poorly described and in some cases ambiguously. Some references are not easily available such as Multon (1991) and there is no description for the method used for cellulose content, only the reference in cited. A description of the preparation of the plant material after be collected should be included. The amount of plant material collected and the number of individuals used for each species should be informed. For the authors, what is the difference between "total sugars content" and "total carbohydrates"? Also, two methods were described for starch determination: Page 2, Line 11: Starch content is calculated starting from the percentage of total carbohydrates and total sugars x Page 2, Line 14:-15: Starch content was determined by the polarimetric method. In Page 2, Line 23, what "Anonymous, 1998" Means? In my opinion results and discussion section can not be evaluated since there is a problem with the data presented for the composition of tubers. Considering only the total of nutrient components of the tubers given in Table II, they are higher than 100%. Also, how the authors explain the starch content higher than the total carbohydrates? For the antinutritional factors authors should confirm if they are dealing with saponinins or saponins. The identification of Figures should be given in English instead of French. The pages and lines should be numbered in order to facilitate the revision	To reflect all the comments we have tried to revise our paper. The introduction was taken back. The section material and method was seen again and corrected The total carbohydrate refers to the combined amount of all types of carbohydrate (starch, sugars and fiber). Total sugar is the simplest type of carbohydrate (sucrose, fructose, lactose, etc). We do not have invented this denomination (to see literature). Usually when we do not innovative a known supposed method, it appears useless to describe it. However, the methods used in this work are in my humble opinion known. Cite their references seem correct and sufficient Nevertheless, we have tried to describe There were errors in Table I for data entry. Starch contents were inverted with those of the total carbohydrate. Errors were committed also in Table II for certain contents Nutrient contents have been reported in the dry matter The sum total carbohydrates proteins lipids ash = 100 (to see table I) We have corrected Tables I and II (to see paper results section) The hydrolysis of saponins gives sapogenins (to see literature)